

PAC Meeting 9/21/22

Attendance: Davis S, Chas O, Denise A, Sherry S, Paul M, Anita D, Melissa M, Anny L, Stephanie M, Dawn S, Joseph T, Lorraine P

Absent- Mark K, Pauletta R, Jamie K

Joseph is filling Davis's previous position now. Congratulations!

COC Competition Debrief-

Timeline was difficult.

It was shortened by almost 6 weeks.

Opportunity now to prepare and discuss monitoring tool and ranking tool for next competition.

YAB application may have monopolized focus of PAC and time

YAB had TA that was not valuable to the application process. Did not provide the direction that we needed. No real straight answers from them regarding specific questions.

TA was productive in the way that the YAB members took their guidance. The youth connected well with the TA providers.

Technical questions were unanswered by the TA.

Update on ICAP competition-

Discussed rating and ranking tool with them

CoC work group- disconnect of who is writing the application and those attending the meetings.

Preparing outside the release of the NOFO

Renewals and Plans Moving forward-

Can there be a training for new applicants so that applicants know what is needed in the application and can also be a refresher or those who are new to the projects?

Year long process to find out who is interested in the applications and provide ongoing support or mentorship relationship.

Work on laying out preliminary trainings- can show partners that are involved already, and that mentoring can promote more participation from smaller agencies.

Supporting capacity of organizations-

Hud does have some webinars available

FAQ Tools in order to determine if organization can apply

Educate on the long-term commitment of the application and program

Is there some complacency that is occurring due to not having many new applicants in?

New applicants and what tool is working to get them more of a score in the competition.

SD Housing grants- difficulty with rating and ranking and seeing SD housing listed first.

Ranking list just the physical list, can we explore a non-competitive section of the grant and list it separately

Planning grant- can we look at it and see where the money is going and where the opportunity is that we need more funding or can move funding around.

New PAC members get some of the funding where it goes

Do a little presentation of grant funded projects

PIT Count-

Accuracy of HMIS when it comes to larger shelters and who is inputting

The survey is on the App and not through HMIS

Street count would be covered by Auggie

Examining the funding when it comes to the PIT count and those who are assisting with PIT counting.

Exploring the differences between the years when it comes to the sheltered count

ERAP-

A lot of it is coming through in Rapid and in the hotels because of the tribal ERAP. Currently about 700 housed through hotels.

Concern because there is a lack of inventory

Less than 1% vacancy

Lots of rental assistance not enough inventory

Barriers-

Need the application approved by Friday at the latest! Email response, at least five responses

Sherry and Anita both have responded

Monday is the absolute latest day

Would like to speak with Joe Barb about his planning and the brick and mortar and his funding needs.

We want to re-examine the processes and are we up to date with any changes, the rules and regulations of HUD vs what we can change.

Monitoring needs to be occurring during the year. We need to examine Monitoring as a PAC committee.

CoC grantees are knowledgeable but cannot participate in the decisions of PAC.

There has been lack of response and feedback for meetings for the quarterly meetings and CoC meetings.

CoC Conflict of interest-

Understanding what a conflict of interest is when it comes to those who are on the board.

PAC members cannot be a part of agency receiving CoC funding

Pulling in consultants for the PAC who are CoCs

There is a monthly meeting for those who are in the CoCs. PAC could join that meeting to hear what is occurring and having the discussion of the challenges that are experienced.

PAC should explore engaging CoC meetings to help make the decisions.

NOFO-

Expecting on the scoring to verify to HUD that the project is following the expectations

HUD template on scoring the competition for renewals

Future PAC Meetings and Minutes-

We cannot use google docs at this time due to security concerns

Zoom- we need a subscription and Dawn has the subscription

Can we consider doing Hybrid Meetings through Zoom?

Some of the in-person meetings needed to for vital meetings.

Let us do a survey to see what platforms and document sharing- possibly using google docs.

CoC engagement-

Define what a CoC is and tailor it to the agency

Market to the specific agency and company to promote additional and diverse agencies joining meetings

PAC election-

Wait until next meeting but getting resumes and have expectation of PAC members time commitments.

Challenges for PAC-

Voting through emails

Perfect storm of issues and challenges when it came to the NOFO grant and not feeling like there was enough time.

How can PAC support the staff during these funding competitions

Would transparency on funding be beneficial to the PAC and help them learn those histories?

More of team discussions and orientation for new PAC members.

Separation of PAC and SD housing- Opening up conversation, and the time commitment to the entire PAC meeting.

The PAC and CoC has the power to change lead agencies.

Commit to the meeting and coming to the meeting.

SD housing providing background on why certain things are the way they are. Having the clarification has helped when it comes to why things are the way they are.

Good to question about what is happening with HUD- HUD changes the paths of the future of potential programs.

Next Meeting Agenda Items

Monitoring Tools and process/procedures in place.

Brining in Joe to discuss different committees- have South Dakota Housing also help with input.

Review PAC guidelines and committee procedures

Next Meeting 10/19/22- 1:00 PM